



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/774,819 | 02/09/2004 | Ronald Neil Drake | CBM-WTS | 9231 | |
| 22903 7590 03/02/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| COOLEY GODWARD LLP | | | CINTINS, IVARS C | | |
| ATTN: PATENT GROUP 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE, SUITE 1700 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| ONE FREEDOM SQUARE- RESTON TOWN CENTER | | | 1724 | | |
| RESTON, V | /A 20190-5061 | | DATE MAILED: 03/02/2000 | DATE MAILED: 03/02/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commons | 10/774,819 | DRAKE, RONALD NEIL | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Ivars C. Cintins | 1724 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | -· action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | | secution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 21-103 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| · | 8) Claim(s) 21-103 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/774,819

Art Unit: 1724

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 21-36, 50-77 and 91-103, drawn to an apparatus for removing a component from a fluid, classified in class 210, subclass 266.

II. Claims 37-49 and 78-90, drawn to a method for purifying water, classified in class 210, subclass 670.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus of Group I could be used to carry out another process, different from that of Group II. For example, this apparatus could be used to purify fluids other than water (e.g. oils, blood, sugar solutions, etc.).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the searches for the individual Groups are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR § 1.143).

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Application/Control Number: 10/774,819

Art Unit: 1724

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

In addition to the above noted restriction requirement, an election of species is also required. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Separator species (e.g. gravity settler, sieve, hydrocyclone, etc.).

The species are independent or distinct because the different types of separators rely on different and distinct types of separation mechanisms. Currently, claims 21-33, 37-46, 50-58, 62-74, 78-87 and 91-100 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143), and (ii) an identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is 571-272-1155. The

Art Unit: 1724

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Duane Smith, can be reached at 571-272-1166.

The centralized facsimile number for the USPTO is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

Page 4

I. Cintins February 28, 2006